

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/046,682	01/16/2002	Terry Harmston	20136	5686	
7	1590 10/23/2002				
JAMES R. CWAYNA			EXAMINER		
8905 Wood Cl Bloomington, I			CHIN SHUE	CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\sim
•		10/046,682	HARMSTON, TERRY	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\neg
3		Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634	,
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence	address
Period fo			- MONTHAN EDOM	
THE - Externation - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the process of the communication of the	136(a). In no event, however, now this within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e. cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tir) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	August 2002 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			the merits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 9-15 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration	1.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremen	t.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
,	The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		_	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		l∐ disapproved by the Exam	iner.
40.	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
'	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		.,	
* 5	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Beet the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	al Stage
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).
) The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •		
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper (ce of Informal Patent Application (er:	, ,
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Pa	rt of Paper No. 5

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following phrases lack antecedent basis; "the sides of the step and platform", as set forth in claim 9; "the second end of the step", as set forth in claim 10. the phrase "the sides thereof", as set forth in claim 9, is unclear as it is not known which side is being referred to. Claims 12 and 13, are improper as they do not further limit and positively claimed element. In claim 14, the claimed length relative to the ladder, where the ladder is not a positively claimed element, renders the claim indefinite.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9,10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hornung. Hornung shows a first transverse member 54 and a second transverse member 50.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 9,10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lunn. Lunn shows side members 43, a first transverse member 41 and a second transverse member 53.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9,10,14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans in view of Cinker. Evans shows a first transverse member 12, a second transverse member 13, and locking means 19. The claimed difference being the outwardly extension of the transverse members to enable the longitudinally extending members to located between the upright rails. Cinker shows transverse members 28, 30 extending outwardly of a longitudinal support and beyond the widths of a pair of uprights rails to enable a wedged attachment of the longitudinal support there between. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Evans for his longitudinal members to positioned inwardly of the ends of his transverse members to enable same to be supported within his upright rails.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 9,10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hornung in view of Cinker. Hornung shows the claimed platform with the exception of extent and spacing of his first transverse member 52. Cinker shows a first transverse member 30 spaced beyond a depth of a pair of upright rails from a second transverse member 28 and extending beyond the widths of the pair of uprights rails to abut opposite faces of the upright rails to enable a wedged attachment of the longitudinal support. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the first transverse member 52 of Hornung to spaced beyond the depth of his upright rails 14,16, and to extend beyond the faces of his rails 14, in lieu of his transverse abutment brace 32,34,54, to enable a wedged attachment of his platform to his rails.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hornung, or Hornung and Cinker as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Peters. Peters shows a spring-biased rod 38. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a spring-biased rod to Hornung for locking his platform to a rung of his ladder.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Art Unit: 3634

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is



Art Unit: 3634

assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3008-1113.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

ACS October 20, 2002